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## Meet our Mixed Family

Many of our participants are regulars, yet every edition we see new faces - and since it has been a year since our last event, some of us may have forgotten who the others are in the mean time...
We have always been proud to present a field of champions as well as rising stars, so today I would like to introduce some of both:
As mentioned yesterday, Turnips (Hilda Setton, Pierre Franceschetti, Cedric Lorenzini, Anne Laure Tartarin) won the European Transnational Mixed Teams in June. (Some might add that Cenk Tuncok and Selen Hotamisligil knocked out the editor in the same event, but I won't). Only a few weeks ago, John McAllister and Lilly Justman (from the leaders Mixed Goods) won the Mixed Swiss at the Fall Nationals.
If we look at the newcomers, we find three of them on the Fawerites (Melissa Fawer, Gail Schargel, David Yoon). David Yoon is also one of 12 bridge pros protrayed in a Bridge Pro Calendar (yes, you read correctly) that you can read more about inside the bulletin. Lastly we have the field's youngest players, Sarah and Lotte De Wijs, who just turned 19 this month. Some might find their last name familiar; they are daughters of Dutch multiple World Champion Simon De Wijs, who got permission to play with one of them last match Thursday.


#### Abstract

All players should enter BBO 5 minutes before the beginning of a match. TD Denis Dobrin will instruct you where to sit. All players must have their name in their BBO-profile. Private isn't allowed for the sake of opponents and kibitzers.


## Today's Schedule

December 20th
10:00 EST / 16:00 CET - R3 (16 boards)
12:15 EST / 18:15 CET - R4 (16 boards)
Same schedule throughout the week

## Results \＆Today＇s Draw

## Results

| Ranking after round 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rk | team | VPs |
| 1 | （1）MIXED GOODS | 59.21 |
| 2 | ， 2la $^{\text {SCANDISCOTS }}$ | 56.85 |
| 3 | －TURNIPS | 50.90 |
| 4 | $=$ MIKADINHO | 40.06 |
| 5 | 辰 ALPERT | 35.37 |
| 6 | 彗 PARKER | 27.06 |
| 7 | \＃NETTEALE | 26.15 |
| 8 | FEFESERITES | 24.40 |

## Round 5

| тыI | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | －MIKADINHO |  |
| 2 | ＋NETTEALE | 㮣 FAWERITES |
| 3 | －TURNIPS | 彗 PARKER |
| 4 | （4）．SCANDISCOTS | （1）．4．MIXED GOODS |


| Tbl | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | ALPERT | NETTEALE |
| 2 | NARKER | MIKADINHO |
| 3 | FAWERITES | SCANDISCOTS |
| 4 | MIXED GOODS | TURNIPS |

## Less is More <br> Round 3

By Mark Horton
The Editor suggested that I write a little
less in Round 3. Not so easy when you look
at the astonishing set of deals that were
delivered. In one match 118 IMPs were
scored and the other three delivered 70 or
more.

Still, I have some good champagne waiting so here is a somewhat attenuated report:

Board 2. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

- Q 3
- A 83
- K J 2
- KQ986

| - 854 | - 62 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark 9$ | $\checkmark 76$ |
| -Q1098653 | 3 A 4 |
| * A 7 | -10 |
|  | - AKJ 1097 |
|  | - K Q 105 |
|  | - 7 |
|  | \& J |

Turnips v Alpert

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | south |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Todd | Franceschetti | Fruscolini | Setton |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| $4 \diamond$ | Dble* $^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |

West led the $\Upsilon 9$ and declarer lost to the missing aces, +650 .

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lorenzini | Versace | Tartarin | Alpert |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| $4\rangle$ | Dble $^{*}$ | $5 \downarrow$ | $5 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | 5 NT $^{*}$ | Pass | $6 \downarrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

5NT Pick a slam

West led the \& A and switched to the $\$ 10$, -100 and 13 IMPs.

Fawerites v Mixed Goods

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bauer | Tokay | Hammond | Fawer |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| $4\rangle$ | Dble* $^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | $5 \downarrow$ | $5 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

West led the $>3$ and East took the ace and returned the $\uparrow 4,+650$.

> WBF Women's Online Teams February 16 to 182024

Click here to watch the promotion video


| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schargel | McAllister | Yoon | Justman |
| - | - | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 29 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 30 |
| Pass | 4\&* | Pass | 4** |
| Pass | 4 | Pass | 4NT* |
| Pass | 54* | Pass | 50 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

4\% Cue
4* Cue
4NT RKCB
5\% 1 keycard

Scandiscots v Mikadinho

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOuth |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| vd Paverd | M-Larsen | Lde Wijs | Poulsen |
| - | - | Pass | 14 |
| 4 | Dble* | Pass | $5 \varphi$ |
| Pass | $6 N T$ | All Pass |  |

East led the $\uparrow 6$ and declarer won and cashed major suit winners to arrive at this position:

$\uparrow-$
$\bullet 10$
7
$\& \mathrm{~J}$

When declarer played the $\vee 10$ West discarded the 10 and dummy the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$. Seeing ghosts, East parted with the $\$ 10$ and now a diamond meant dummy would score a trick, +1440.

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Punch | S de Wijs | Peterkin | Verhaegen |
| - | - | Pass | 14 |
| 3 | $3 N T$ | Pass | $5 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $6 \downarrow$ | All Pass |  |

West led the \&A and switched to the $\$ 10$, -100 and 17 IMPs.


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Netteale v Parker |  |  |  |
| WEST | nORTH | EAST | south |
| Rubenstein | Cope | Tebha | M Gold |
| - | - | Pass | 14 |
| 3 | 3NT | Pass | $4 \downarrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Diamond lead, club switch, +650 .

| West | nORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Seale | Tunçok | D Gold | Hotamisligil |
| - | - | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| 3 | $3 N T$ | Pass | $4 \downarrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Ace of clubs lead, diamond switch, push.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.
© 106
-K74

- Q 105432
\& K 10
↔ A K Q 974
-     - 

$\checkmark$ J
-A1096532
-

- AKJ 98
\& J 76543
\& 9

4J8532

- Q 8
- 76
- A Q 82

Turnips v Alpert

| west | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Todd | Franceschetti | Fruscolini | Setton |
| - | - | 10 | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass | 30 | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |

South led the $>7$ and declarer took North's queen with the ace, ruffed a diamond and played the top spades, North ruffing the third round. After overruffing, declarer played two rounds of hearts, claiming, +680.

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lorenzini | Versace | Tartarin | Alpert |
| - | - | $1 \downarrow$ | Pass |
| $1 *$ | $2 \downarrow$ | $4 \downarrow$ | Pass |
| $5 \psi^{*}$ | Pass | $6 \downarrow$ | All Pass |

## 5. Cue

Here too South led the $\downarrow 7$.

The play followed a similar course, but declarer knew that North would not be so obliging as to ruff a third spade and ruffed a club, hoping for a doubleton $\triangle$ KQ. She was one down, -100 and 13 IMPs poorer.

Fawerites v Mixed Goods

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bauer | Tokay | Hammond | Fawer |
| - | - | $1 \triangleright$ | $1 ゅ$ |
| 24 | 2 | $4 \varnothing$ | All Pass |

South led the $\downarrow 7$ and declarer took 11
tricks, +650 .

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Schargel | McAllister | Yoon | Justman |
| - | - | $1 \boldsymbol{0}$ | Pass |
| 14 | 2 | Pass | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{0}$ | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{0}$ | Dble |
| 44 | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

North led the $>5$ and declarer won with dummy's ace and cashed the $\diamond K$, disposing of two clubs. The $\forall$ J came next and when South pitched the $\& 2$ declarer ruffed, played the $\vee J$ to dummy's ace and exited with a club. North won with the $\$ 10$, and continued with the $\& \mathrm{~K}$, South overtaking it with the \&A.
Now the $\& \mathrm{Q}$ would have resulted in two down, but when South continued with the $\checkmark$ Q declarer ruffed and exited with a club, finishing with nine tricks, -200 and 13 IMPs for Mixed Goods.


## Scandiscots v Mikadinho

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| vd Paverd | M-Larsen | Lde Wijs | Poulsen |
| - | - | $1 \downarrow$ | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | $4 \downarrow$ | All Pass |

South led the \&A and switched to the $\vee$. Declarer won and returned the $\vee 10$ but North won and went back to clubs, leaving declarer with two losing diamonds for one down, -100.

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | south |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Punch | S de Wijs | Peterkin | Verhaegen |
| - | - | 10 | 14 |
| Pass | 2 | 20 | Pass |
| 30 | Pass | 4 | All Pass |

## Netteale v Parker

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH | WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rubenstein | Cope | Tebha | M Gold | Todd | Franceschetti | Fruscolini | Setton |
| - | - | 10 | 14 | - | - | 17 | 24 |
| Pass | 1NT | 2 | Pass | $34^{*}$ | 44 | 4NT* | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass | 30 | All Pass | 5** | All Pass |  |  |

South led the $\boldsymbol{\uparrow} \mathbf{2}$ and here too declarer
took 11 tricks, +200.

| WEST | NORTH | EASt | south |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seale | Tunçok | D Gold | Hotamisligil |
| - | - | 10 | 14. |
| 24 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 4 | All Pass |

South led the $\uparrow 2$ and declarer cashed two of dummy's top spades, ruffed a club and played three rounds of diamonds, South ruffing in with the $\triangle Q$ and exiting with the $\vee 8$, another route to 11 tricks, +650 and 10 IMPs.

Board 10. Dealer East. All Vul.

- Q 82
- 10854
- KJ 952
- 10
- K 6
$\bullet 963$
- A 64
\& A J 542
- A J
- AKQJ 72
- 1083
\& K 9

Turnips v Alpert

34 Heart raise
4NT RKCB
5 2 keycards
Of course, I'm guessing about the meaning 4 NT and $5^{\circ}$.

Declarer won the spade lead, cashed four hearts and then played the $\% \mathrm{~K}$ followed by the $\$ 9$, for the queen and ace, +680 .

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lorenzini | Versace | Tartarin | Alpert |
| - | - | 2** | Pass |
| 2** | Pass | 30 | Pass |
| 4* | Pass | 44 | Pass |
| 4NT* | Pass | 5** | Pass |
| $54^{*}$ | Pass | 6** | Pass |
| $7 \vee$ | All Pass |  |  |

$2 \quad$ Strong (any) not game forcing
$2 \quad$ Waiting
4NT RKCB
$5 \quad 3$ keycards
$5 \$$ Q?
$6 \& \quad Q+\& K$

North led the $\checkmark 5$ and declarer drew trumps. On the third round South discarded the $\$ 3$ and the $\$ 7$ went on the fifth heart. When North followed to the 9 K it was all over, +2210 and 17 IMPs.

↔ 1097543
--

- Q 7
\&Q8763

Fawerites v Mixed Goods

| west | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bauer | Tokay | Hammond | Fawer |
| - | - | 10 | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 30 | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | 4* | Pass |
| 4 | Pass | 4NT* | Pass |
| 5** | Pass | $5 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ | Pass |
| 6** | All Pass |  |  |

4NT*RKCB
$5 \checkmark 2$ keycards
5NT Grand Slam try
6 V No $\downarrow / \stackrel{K}{ }$

| South led the $\$ 6$ for the ten and king and declarer drew trumps and then ran the $\$ 9$, +1430 . |  |  |  | wEST |  |  | south |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | vd Paverd | M-Larsen | L de Wijs | Poulsen |
|  |  |  |  | - | - | 10 | Pass |
|  |  |  |  | 24 | Pass | 30 | Pass |
| west <br> Schargel | north <br> McAllister | EAST | south | 34* | Pass | 4** | Pass |
|  |  |  |  | 4NT* | Pass | $5{ }^{*}$ | Pass |
|  |  | Yoon | Justman |  |  |  | All Pass |
| - | - | 19 | Pass |  |  |  |  |
| 2* | Pass | 30 | Pass |  |  |  |  |
| $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | 4NT* | Pass | 34 Cue |  |  |  |
| $5{ }^{*}$ | Pass | 5NT* | Pass | 4* Cue |  |  |  |
| $6{ }^{*}$ | All Pass |  |  | 5* 3 key | ards |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 54 PQ |  |  |  |

A not dissimilar sequence to that in the other room.

South led the 10 and pitched the $\$ 6$ on the fourth rounds of trumps, declarer going up with dummy's ace on the second round of the suit for +1430 and a push.


Scandiscots v Mikadinho

34 Cue
4\% Cue
4NT RKCB
5 3 keycards


South led the $\uparrow 10$, kept all his clubs and eventually covered the $\$ 9,+1430$.

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Punch | S de Wijs | Peterkin | Verhaegen |
| - | - | 18 | 24 |
| 34 | 34 | $3 N T$ | All Pass |



Some good defensive bidding made life awkward.

South led the $Q$ and when North discarded the $\$ 10$ at trick seven declarer was assured of 12 tricks and ended up with all of them, +720 but a 12 IMP loss.

## Netteale v Parker

| west | NORTH | EASt | south |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rubenstein | Cope | Tebha | M Gold |
| - | - | 10 | Pass |
| 24* | Pass | 30 | Pass |
| 3** | Pass | 4** | Pass |
| 4** | Dble | Pass* | Pass |
| 4** | Pass | 54* | Pass |
| 5** | Pass | 64* | Pass |
| 6** | Pass | 60 | All Pass |

2* $2+$ game forcing
34 Non-serious slam interest
4* Cue
4* Cue
Pass Not first round diamond control
44 RKCB
5* 3 keycards
5 Q ?
6* $\mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{+} \mathrm{~K}$
6* Grand slam try
South led the $\downarrow$ Q and kept all her clubs declarer taking 12 tricks, +1430 .

| west | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seale | Tunçok | D Gold | Hotamisligil |
| - | - | 10 | Pass |
| 2** | Pass | 30 | Pass |
| $34^{*}$ | Pass | 4\&* | Pass |
| $4{ }^{*}$ | Dble | Pass* | Pass |
| Rdbl* | Pass | 4NT* | Pass |
| 5** | Pass | 5NT* | Pass |
| 64* | Pass | 6NT | All Pass |

2* Natural, game forcing
3. Cue

4* Cue
4* Cue
RdblFirst round * control
4NT RKCB
5 2 keycards
5NT Grand Slam try
64 - K
South led the $\vee \mathrm{Q}$ and declarer ducked, took the second diamond with dummy's ace and cashed his hearts, discarding two clubs and a diamond while South pitched the $\$ 3$ and four spades. Declarer cashed two spades and when South pitched the $\$ 6$ he cashed the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and played the $\$ 9$ to dummy's jack, +1440.
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## Cold Turkey Round 4

Given that today I must make a trip of more than 100 miles to collect our Christmas turkey before the start of Round 5 , it was a pleasant surprise to discover that the deals in Round 4 were not quite so lively.

## Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

- AKJ 632
$\checkmark 7$
- K Q 962
\& K

| ¢ 974 | ¢ 10 |
| :---: | :---: |
| - AQ10 8 | - KJ965432 |
| -1074 | - 5 |
| \& A 98 | \& Q J 4 |

$\stackrel{\text { Q Q } 85}{\bullet-}$

- A J 83
\& 1076532

Despite the vulnerability, East is going to open $3 \checkmark$ (or maybe $4 \checkmark$ ) and catch a raise from West. North is sure to bid $4 \uparrow$ when there is a case of sorts for South to bid $5 \vee$. Whether North should then jump to 6 ${ }^{4}$ is harder to judge.

## Alpert v Fawerites

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Schargel | Fruscolini | Yoon | Pramotton |
| - | - | $3 \varnothing$ | Pass |
| $4 \varnothing$ | $4 \boldsymbol{q}$ | Pass | Pass |
| $5 \varnothing$ | Dble | Pass | $5 \boldsymbol{4}$ |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

In this sort of situation West might consider bidding $5 \$$ over $4 \uparrow$, the idea being to help partner to judge what to do if NS bid on to 54 (here the vulnerability is perhaps an inhibitor to EW bidding on).

I'll leave someone else to discuss the significance of West's Pass over 54, as a discussion about the concept of the Forcing Pass might incur the Editor's wrath lengthwise. Declarer had no trouble collecting 12 tricks for +750 .

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alpert | Tokay | Versace | Fawer |
| - | - | $4 \downarrow$ | Pass |
| Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass | Pass |
| $5 \boldsymbol{5}$ | Dble | All Pass |  |

With an eight-card suit East was happy to try $4 \checkmark$ - who was it who said 'vulnerability is for children'?

South has an ace, the opponent's are vulnerable and at the five-level. Even so, its surprising South didn't bid 54.

After a spade to the king North switched to the $>\mathrm{K}$ and continued with the $>2$. Declarer ruffed with the $\vee$, played a heart to dummy's ace, ruffed a spade and ran the \&Q. Should I send him a copy of The Rabbi's Rules?

One down was -200, but it was still a gain of 11 IMPs.

| Mikadinho v Turnips |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WEST | NORTH | EAST | south |
| Lorenzini | S de Wijs | Tartarin | Verhaegen |
| - | - | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| Pass | 44 | Pass | Pass |
| 50 | Pass | Pass | 54 |
| 60 | Dble | All Pass |  |

This time running the $\& \mathrm{Q}$ meant -500 . With NS cold for +980 it (as the Black Knight would say) 'tis but a scratch'.

|  | ( |  |  | west | NORTH | EAST | south |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WEST | NORTH | EAST | south | Punch | Wood | Peterkin | Robinson |
| Nijssen | Franceschetti | L de Wijs | Setton | - | - | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| - | - | 30 | Pass | Pass | 44 | Pass | Pass |
| 4 | 44 | 50 | 54 | 5 | Pass | Pass | 54 |
| All Pass |  |  |  | All Pas |  |  |  |

I needed software to check that L de Wijs is not an anagram of Rixi Markus. That was +480 , an IMP changing hands.

## Mixed Goods v Netteale

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Seale | McAllister | F Helness | Justman |
| - | - | $4 \downarrow$ | Pass |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | Pass | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

To save time here's a 'cut and paste':
South has an ace, the opponent's are vulnerable and at the five-level. Even so, its surprising South didn't bid 54.
That was one down, -200.

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bauer | Cope | Hammond | M Gold |
| - | - | $4 \boldsymbol{0}$ | Pass |
| Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass | Pass |
| $5 \boldsymbol{0}$ | Pass | Pass | $5 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Declarer managed to slip a club past the ace so that was +510 and 7 IMPs.

## Parker v Scandiscots

All Pass

Declarer claimed 12 tricks, +480.

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rubenstein | M-Larsen | Tebha <br> Poulsen |  |
| - | - | 30 | Pass |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |

One possibility is to bid $4 \diamond$, non-leaping Michaels, promising diamonds and spades. If South then bids $4 \vee$ my au pair reckons North should try 64 (or 5\&).

Board 15. Dealer South. N/S Vul.


## Alpert v Fawerites

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Schargel | Fruscolini | Yoon | Pramotton |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | Dble | $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | $4 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ |
| Pass | $5 \&$ | All Pass |  |

4NT Minors
East led the $\vee$ Q and West ruffed and fatally cashed the $\uparrow A$. Declarer could ruff the next spade, draw trumps and claim, +600 .

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alpert | Tokay | Versace | Fawer |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \%$ | Pass | 1NT |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | $5 \%$ | All Pass |  |

West's silence paid a dividend.
East led the $\uparrow Q$ and continued with the $\oplus J$, declarer ruffing, cashing the \&AK and playing the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$.


West's ruff was followed by a switch to the $\checkmark 10$ (a third spade is even stronger) and declarer played low, which meant he took 10 tricks, -100 and a 12 IMP loss.

Mikadinho v Turnips

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lorenzini | S de Wijs | Tartarin | Verhaegen |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| $1 \uparrow$ | Dble | $3)^{*}$ | Pass |
| $4 \uparrow$ | Dble | Pass | 54 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

West led the $\uparrow A$ and switched to the $\ddagger 6$, declarer winning with dummy's king and playing three rounds of hearts. Having overruffed East's $\% 9$ with the $\& Q$ he continued with a club, West following with the \&8. Going up with dummy's ace saw East discard which left declarer a trick short, -100.


I could write something about 'vacant spaces' but perhaps its simpler to say that if West had a diamond he might have led it at trick two. If diamonds are 5-0 you can be more or less certain that East is 4-2-$5-2$, and finesse on the second round of trumps.

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nijssen | Franceschetti | Lde Wijs | Setton |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| $2 \uparrow$ | Dble | $4 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | 5 |
| $5 \uparrow$ | 6 | Dble | All Pass |

Rather than dwell on the play, I'll report that declarer finished four down, -1100 costing 14 IMPs.

Mixed Goods v Netteale

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Seale | McAllister | F Helness | Justman |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| $\mathbf{2 \boldsymbol { Q } ^ { * }}$ | Dble | $\mathbf{4 a}$ | 5 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

## 2A Constructive

Should I mention the concept of the 'sitting duck'?
Perhaps not.
5 was two down, -200.

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bauer | Cope | Hammond | M Gold |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{-}$ | Pass | 1NT |
| $2 \uparrow$ | Dble | All Pass |  |

You could pose this exam question: When I was a lad, North's double would have promised a strong balanced hand, but the modern approach is for it to be for takeout. Discuss.

There was no way to prevent nine tricks being taken, +570 and 9 IMPs.

Parker v Scandiscots

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Punch | Wood | Peterkin | Robinson |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| $3 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Dble | $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ | $4 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ |
| Pass | $5 \%$ | All Pass |  |

East led the $\vee$ Q and west ruffed and continued with the $\uparrow A$ followed by the $\uparrow 2$. Declarer ruffed in dummy, cashed the \&A and played three rounds of hearts. When East discarded the $\uparrow 5$ declarer ruffed, but then played a diamond, West's ruff with the \&J being the setting trick, -100 .

| WEST | NORTH | EAST | SOUTH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rubenstein | M-Larsen | Tebha | Poulsen |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Dble | $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | $4 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ |
| Pass | $5 \$$ | All Pass |  |

## 4NT Minors

East led the \& 7 and declarer won with the ten and cashed the \&A and then played
three rounds of hearts, ruffing in dummy as East pitched the $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$.

When declarer continued with the $>2$, West ruffed with the \&J, but now declarer was in control, losing only one more trick to the $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$ for +600 and 12 IMPs.

It was the old story - ruffing a loser is rarely a good idea. If West discards a spade declarer wins with the $\forall K$ and ruffs a heart, but then has to play a spade. West allows East to win and then the Q is a killer.

As the cards lie, declarer can avoid this by playing on hearts immediately, as he preserves an entry in the trump suit. Having cashed one top club declarer must take a third round and then play a low heart towards the jack.

# Bridge Pro Calendar 2024 - Not a joke 

12 bridge pros are portrayed and tell their favorite bridge stories in this colorful and fun 2024 calendar. Buy it for USD 49 through schoolofbridge.com
(funds go to cover the production expenses and any profit goes to the New York School of Bridge)


## B

## Bridge Base

## Free, unlimited bridge on BBO



Your online bridge club
Social play. ACBL Games. Tournaments. Solitaire.


Computer, tablet or mobile phone:
Play on your favorite device!

